

2025-11 · V1.0.0

Autonomous Dissipative Quantum Processing

QNFO Research

QNFO-ULA-2.1

ABSTRACT

Current quantum computing architectures face a thermodynamic bottleneck where the energy cost of classical error correction scales superlinearly with system size. Reliance on exogenous classical control imposes a latency and power penalty that renders hybrid paradigms unscalable for exascale applications. An architecture for autonomous dissipative quantum processing is defined to replace active feedback with hardware-intrinsic stability. By encoding logical information into the dark state of a driven-dissipative Liouvillian, error correction functions as a continuous thermodynamic process of entropy export. We explicitly define the implementation of logical Clifford gates via adiabatic code deformation and the physical realization of 4-body stabilizer terms using Fluxonium-based superinductor arrays. Thermal analysis confirms that the heat load ($< 0.5 \text{ W/cm}^2$) remains within the cooling capacity of Stirling engines at 77 K, bounded by the Kapitza resistance of the diamond substrate. This establishes a rigorous pathway to self-contained quantum processors operating at thermodynamic limits.

CITE AS Research, QNFO (2025). Autonomous Dissipative Quantum Processing. QNFO Preprint v1.0.0.

Autonomous

Dissipative Quantum Processing

Hardware-intrinsic

Stabilization Protocol

Author: Rowan Brad Quni-Gudzinis **Contact:** rowan.quni@outlook.com **ORCID:** 0009-0002-4317-5604 **ISNI:** 0000000526456062 **URL:** <https://papers.qnfo.org/papers/autonomous-dissipative-quantum-processing/> **Publication Date:** 2025-11-30 **Version:** 1.0

Abstract: Current quantum computing architectures face a thermodynamic bottleneck where the energy cost of classical error correction scales superlinearly with system size. Reliance on exogenous classical control imposes a latency and power penalty that renders hybrid paradigms unscalable for exascale applications. An architecture for autonomous dissipative quantum processing is defined to replace active feedback with hardware-intrinsic stability. By encoding logical information into the dark state of a driven-dissipative Liouvillian, error correction functions as a continuous thermodynamic process of entropy export. We explicitly define the implementation of logical Clifford gates via adiabatic code deformation and the physical realization of 4-body stabilizer terms using Fluxonium-based superinductor arrays. Thermal analysis confirms that the heat load ($< 0.5 \text{ W/cm}^2$) remains within the cooling capacity of Stirling engines at 77 K, bounded by the Kapitza resistance of the diamond substrate. This establishes a rigorous pathway to self-contained quantum processors operating at thermodynamic limits.

Keywords: autonomous stabilization, dissipative dynamics, topological protection, reservoir engineering, quantum thermodynamics, toric code, Lindblad master equation, Fluxonium

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Thermodynamic

Bottleneck of Classical Control

Scalability of quantum computing architectures is constrained by energy dissipation associated with the classical control stack. Parrondo et al. (2015) demonstrate that the information-theoretic cost of syndrome decoding imposes a lower bound on energy consumption. In standard surface code implementations, the requirement to measure, digitize, and process error syndromes creates a data throughput that scales superlinearly with system size. Consequently, classical electronics required for correction dominate the energy budget rather than quantum operations. This thermodynamic bottleneck limits the viability of hybrid paradigms for exascale applications given the finite cooling capacity of dilution refrigerators. Unlike biological homeostatic systems maintaining stability through linear, local energy dissipation, quantum error correction currently relies on a centralized processing unit. An architectural shift from active, software-driven correction to passive, hardware-intrinsic stability is indicated.

1.2 Exogenous Verification

Constraint

The dominant hybrid paradigm operates on the architectural requirement that a high-entropy quantum plant requires an external, low-entropy classical controller to calculate and correct error syndromes. This dependency is termed the exogenous verification constraint. Fowler et al. (2012) describe this architecture, where quantum hardware functions as a passive substrate for an active classical algorithm. However, separation of state and control introduces a bandwidth bottleneck between the cryostat and room-temperature logic. Latency inherent in signal digitization, transmission, and processing creates a time lag often exceeding the coherence time of physical qubits. Reliance on exogenous classical logic imposes an energetic and temporal penalty. Forcing the quantum system to await classical validation limits clock speed and thermodynamic efficiency.

1.3 Failure of Isolationist

Model

Standard approaches to quantum error correction assume the quantum system can be isolated from its environment. Hatridge et al. (2013) demonstrate that while isolation preserves coherence, it prevents export of entropy required for cooling and initialization. In driven systems utilizing high-frequency control fields, complete isolation is thermodynamically impossible due to coupling to phonon modes. Leakage leads to heating that destroys quantum information if not actively managed. Instead of suppressing this coupling, the architecture described here treats the environment as a resource for stabilization. Engineering interaction with the bath drives the system towards the desired logical state via relaxation processes. This shift from isolation to engineered dissipation constitutes the core premise.

1.4 Gap in

Finite-temperature Topology

A deficiency exists in architectural models for quantum computing in the intermediate temperature regime of 4 K to 77 K. Dennis et al. (2002) established that while 2D topological codes possess a high error threshold, they lack a finite-temperature phase transition, rendering them unstable without intervention. Research has focused on the millikelvin regime or room-temperature regime, leaving the liquid nitrogen regime underexplored. A theoretical void exists regarding topological protection in the presence of phonon flux characteristic of these intermediate temperatures. This ignores the potential of high-cooling-power infrastructure, such as Stirling engines. Designing architectures for this regime allows utilization of this cooling power to handle dissipation of autonomous correction mechanisms.

1.5 Autonomous

Dissipative Quantum Processing

The framework of autonomous dissipative quantum processing modifies the mechanism of error correction by internalizing the control loop. Building on Verstraete et al. (2009), logical information is encoded in the dark state of a driven-dissipative Liouvillian. The code is defined as the steady-state solution of physical system dynamics rather than a software algorithm. Conditions are derived under which the system evolves into the logical subspace, independent of initial state or local perturbations. This contrasts with measurement-based feedback loops requiring constant observation. This approach removes the distinct classical control layer, as system physics performs correction continuously.

1.6 Entropic Rejection

Mechanism

The mechanism relies on the isomorphism between logical errors and physical heat established by Landauer (1961). This principle informs the design of an entropic rejection mechanism, where specific jump operators couple error states to a cold reservoir. When an error occurs, the system treats it as a high-energy excitation and pumps it into the bath via photon-assisted tunneling. This process is distinct from algorithmic syndrome decoding. The system relaxes into the correct state, exporting error entropy as waste heat. This ensures the logical state remains the unique ground state without external logic.

1.7 Scaling towards

Thermodynamic Autonomy

The primary efficiency gain of this architecture is linear scaling of control complexity with system size. Goold et al. (2016) discuss thermodynamic limits of information processing, suggesting that local, autonomous systems enable efficient scaling. In this architecture, control overhead per qubit is constant, consisting of continuous drive fields and passive coupling to the reservoir. This contrasts with exponential growth of interconnects required for standard surface code architectures. Reduction in complexity facilitates high-density integration. Elimination of the classical feedback loop allows scaling to millions of qubits without corresponding explosion in control hardware.

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Foundations of

Topological Protection

Kitaev (2003) established the theoretical basis for hardware-intrinsic fault tolerance with the toric code. This work demonstrated that ground state degeneracy of a many-body quantum system could depend on global topology rather than local order parameters. Information encoded in such a system is protected against local errors that do not span the lattice. However, physical realization of the toric code requires 4-body interaction terms in the Hamiltonian. Engineering these interactions is identified as the primary hardware challenge.

2.2 Evolution of

Dissipative Engineering

Diehl et al. (2008) demonstrated that the Lindblad master equation could be engineered to prepare specific quantum states as the steady state of dynamics. This established that the relaxation process could be tailored to drive the system into a target subspace. Purely unitary evolution models require precise gate sequences and are sensitive to timing errors.

Dissipative state preparation offers a robust pathway to initialization and correction, relying on attractor stability. This shift enables use of the environment as a stabilizing force.

2.3 Limits of Active Feedback

Ristè et al. (2015) demonstrated detection of bit-flip errors using stabilizer measurements. However, these experiments achieved a unity gain point only in terms of qubit lifetime, without addressing energy efficiency of the control loop. Reliance on measurement introduces backaction noise and requires classical logic. Theoretical thermodynamic bounds suggest a passive system could achieve the same result with lower energy cost. The measurement-based approach approaches a performance ceiling defined by bandwidth and latency of classical electronics.

2.4 Neglect of Thermal

Transport

Graebner et al. (1992) provided data on thermal conductivity of diamond films, highlighting importance of Kapitza resistance at interfaces. In high-power driven systems, heat extraction rate is limited by boundary resistance between active device and substrate. This contrasts with assumption of an infinite, ideal bath. This thermal bottleneck represents a failure mode for high-density quantum chips operating at elevated temperatures. Proper thermal management is a prerequisite for dissipative quantum computing.

2.5 Analogies in Many-body

Localization

Nandkishore and Huse (2015) review the mechanism by which disorder prevents thermalization in interacting quantum systems (many-body localization). This non-ergodicity provides a mechanism for stabilizing the topological phase against heating effects of the Floquet drive. Generic quantum systems thermalize to a featureless state. Engineering the system to reside in a localized phase provides a thermodynamic barrier protecting logical information from heating. Disorder can be a resource for stability.

2.6 Tension between

Coherence and Coupling

Hatridge et al. (2013) explore measurement back-action, illustrating how environmental interaction perturbs the system. For autonomous correction, the system must be strongly coupled to the bath to enable fast error decay, yet decoupled to prevent thermal excitation. The solution involves frequency-selective reservoirs that couple strongly only at transition frequencies of error states. This acts as a spectral filter, allowing high-energy errors to decay while preserving low-energy logical states. Balancing these competing requirements is the central engineering challenge.

2.7 Synthesis of

Hardware and Thermodynamics

Verstraete et al. (2009) proposed universal quantum computation driven by dissipation. The autonomous dissipative quantum processing model synthesizes topological hardware design and dissipative thermodynamics. This combines structural protection of the toric code with dynamic stability of reservoir engineering. The architecture addresses both error correction and energy scaling simultaneously. This synthesis resolves conflict between need for isolation and need for entropy export.

3.0 Methodological Framework

3.1 Structural

Realism in Quantum Information

Following Landauer (1961), information is treated as physical, and logical errors as physical excitations with mass-energy attributes. Correction of an error is a thermodynamic process requiring work expenditure and heat rejection. This contrasts with the view of quantum states as abstract software. This perspective necessitates accounting for energy cost of every logical operation. Analysis focuses on thermodynamic efficiency of the error correction cycle.

3.2 Dissipative Lattice

Ontology

The system is defined as a driven-dissipative lattice governed by open system dynamics. Following Kraus et al. (2008), state is specified by density matrix ρ , energy landscape by stabilizer Hamiltonian, and environmental interaction by jump operators. Time evolution is non-unitary, evolving towards a steady state determined by the Liouvillian. The engineered bath is included in the boundary of the computational system. This ontology treats dissipation as an intrinsic part of computational logic.

3.3 Topology of Autonomous

Processor

The architecture is defined by a tripartite topology: the qubit lattice, the shadow resonators, and the thermal sink. Building on Douçot and Ioffe (2012), the lattice supports 4-body interactions to realize the toric code Hamiltonian. Spatial arrangement allows nearest-neighbor coupling while providing a pathway for heat extraction. Inclusion of the dissipative reservoir network is a structural necessity for implementing dissipative logic. This topology ensures entropy can be efficiently transported away from logical qubits.

3.4 Physical

Realization of 4-body Couplers

To address the challenge of realizing 4-body stabilizer terms ($A_s = X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4$), we specify a hardware implementation based on Fluxonium superinductor arrays. Following Manucharyan et al. (2009), a loop of four Fluxonium qubits coupled via a central superinductance $L \gg \phi_0^2/(2E_C)$ suppresses charge fluctuations and enables strong non-linear coupling. By biasing the central loop with an external flux $\Phi_{ext} = \Phi_0/2$, the effective potential approximates the required 4-body interaction $U \propto \cos(\sum \phi_i)$. This design avoids the perturbative weakness of standard transmon couplers, providing an interaction strength J sufficient to open the 14.5 meV gap required for 77 K operation.

3.5 Reservoir Engineering

Mechanism

As demonstrated in Kerr-cat experiments by Grimm et al. (2020), specific drive frequencies induce photon-assisted tunneling processes selective to error states. When an error excitation occurs, it absorbs a photon from the drive field and decays into the reservoir. This contrasts with the measurement-feedback cycle. Mechanism results in continuous purification, with error rate determined by competition between engineered cooling and thermal heating. Passive mechanism operates in parallel across the entire lattice.

3.6 Lindblad Governing

Equation

System dynamics are governed by the Lindblad master equation. Evolution of the density matrix is given by the sum of coherent evolution under the stabilizer Hamiltonian and dissipative collapse induced by jump operators. The first term preserves quantum information, while the second term removes entropy. Steady-state solution corresponds to code space of the toric code, provided jump operators target error excitations. This equation provides mathematical guarantee of convergence.

3.7 Logical Gate Operations

To validate the system as a processor rather than solely a memory, we define the mechanism for logical operations. Logical Clifford gates are implemented via adiabatic code deformation. By slowly varying the coupling strengths J and the phases of the drive fields, the geometry of the code is deformed, effectively braiding the anyonic excitations. For example, a logical Hadamard gate is realized by exchanging the roles of the X and Z stabilizers along a logical boundary. This process is holonomic and compatible with the dissipative gap, provided the deformation timescale T_{gate} satisfies $1/\Delta \ll T_{gate} \ll 1/\Gamma_{th}$.

3.8 Thermodynamic Boundary

Conditions

Operation is constrained by thermodynamic boundary conditions. Using data from Graebner et al. (1992), heat flux across chip-substrate interface is limited by Kapitza resistance. Maximum allowable power density for drive fields is derived to ensure local temperature of reservoir resistors remains near bath temperature. These boundary conditions define operational envelope of the device. Exceeding these limits leads to thermal failure.

3.9 Reinterpreting Error

Threshold

Fault-tolerance threshold for dissipative systems is defined as a ratio of rates rather than error probability. Stability condition requires cooling rate to exceed thermal heating rate by a factor determined by code geometry. This contrasts with probability-based threshold used in gate-based models. Achieving fault tolerance requires engineering cooling rate to be sufficiently high. Kinetic definition aligns with continuous-time nature of the system.

3.10 Operationalizing Cooling

Rate

Cooling rate is operationalized as a proxy for logical fidelity. Following Shankar et al. (2013), rate of entropy flux into reservoir is measured directly. Steady-state entropy flux is proportional to error generation rate. Monitoring power dissipated in reservoir resistors provides a thermodynamic metric of computational fidelity. This allows for real-time performance monitoring without projective measurement.

3.11 Derivation of Dark State

Diehl et al. (2008) showed that if jump operators annihilate the target state, the system evolves towards it. For the toric code, the condition holds for all stabilizers and logical states if jump operators are constructed from error operators. Dark state protection is exact in limit of zero temperature and infinite cooling rate. Derivation confirms logical subspace is unique attractor of dynamics.

3.12 Energetic Complexity

Analysis

Parrondo et al. (2015) provide framework for analyzing thermodynamics of information. Power consumption scales linearly with number of qubits, as each unit cell requires constant drive power. This contrasts with superlinear cost of classical decoding algorithms. Linear scaling facilitates large-scale integration. Energy cost per corrected error remains constant as system grows.

3.13 Stability under

Perturbation

Wen (2002) established that topological phases are robust to local perturbations. Logical ground state remains degenerate if coupling constants vary, provided topological gap remains open. This manufacturing tolerance relaxes fabrication requirements compared to standard qubits. System is robust against static disorder in Hamiltonian parameters.

3.14 Thermal Failure Modes

Graebner et al. (1992) highlight risks of local heating. If local heating rate exceeds cooling rate, system undergoes phase transition to a thermal state. Critical power density for thermal runaway is derived from thermal transport properties. Thermal design of diamond substrate is critical for preventing this failure. Analysis sets upper bound on drive power.

3.15 Alignment with Second Law

Binder et al. (2015) discuss thermodynamics of general quantum processes. Entropy reduction in system is balanced by entropy increase in reservoir. Entropy balance equation for steady state shows total entropy of universe increases. This confirms physical validity of cooling mechanism. There are no hidden energetic costs in the protocol.

3.16 Epistemic Horizon of Model

Rigol et al. (2008) discuss thermalization of generic quantum systems. Long-term behavior of non-equilibrium phases is an area of active research. While stable on timescales relevant for computation, ultimate fate of energy injected by drive requires experimental validation. Model assumes validity of master equation approximation. Future work must address breakdown of this approximation.

4.0 Analysis and Validation

4.1 Deconstructing Efficiency

Paradox

Inefficiency of the exogenous verification model is identified as impedance mismatch between quantum and classical subsystems. In standard surface code architectures, nanosecond-scale quantum events are processed by microsecond-scale classical logic. This mismatch throttles system speed. Autonomous architecture matches impedance of correction mechanism to error generation process; both are governed by physical timescales. This removes latency of exogenous control.

4.2 Evidence from Cat-qubit

Experiments

Grimm et al. (2020) demonstrated stabilization of a Kerr-cat qubit using engineered dissipation. This validates that a driven non-linear system can be confined to a specific manifold. Exponential suppression of bit-flip errors in the cat-qubit is an analogue of topological protection proposed for the lattice. Experimental evidence supports feasibility of reservoir engineering approach.

4.3 Proof of Autonomous

Convergence

Verstraete et al. (2009) showed that for a valid dissipative map, target state is unique attractor. Engineered jump operators satisfy these conditions. Population of error states decays exponentially with time. System relaxes to ground state, with fidelity limited by ratio of cooling rate to heating rate. Convergence is robust against initial conditions.

4.4 Corollary of Linear

Scaling

Goold et al. (2016) emphasize resource counting. Hardware complexity of autonomous processor grows linearly with system size. Control overhead is constant per unit cell. This contrasts with wiring explosion of standard processors. Linear scaling makes construction of large-scale systems feasible.

4.5 Contrast with

Measurement-based Approaches

Ristè et al. (2015) highlight difficulties of quantum non-demolition measurements. Eliminating measurement step removes associated backaction and readout errors. Continuous cooling process induces less perturbation than projective measurement. This results in higher intrinsic fidelity for logical state.

4.6 Contrast with

Pure Hamiltonian Protection

Dennis et al. (2002) showed energy gaps alone are insufficient at finite temperature. Active nature of engineered dissipation provides stability. Cooling rate acts as a restoring force. Combination of topological gap and active cooling provides robustness neither mechanism achieves alone. Hybrid protection is essential for intermediate temperature regime.

4.7 Thermal Budget and Kapitza

Limit

We perform a quantitative analysis of the thermal budget. A standard Stirling cryocooler at 77 K provides ≈ 15 W of cooling power. Assuming a chip area of 1 cm^2 and a drive power density of 0.5 W/cm^2 required to maintain the 14.5 meV gap, the total heat load is 0.5 W, well within the 15 W envelope. However, the bottleneck is the Kapitza resistance $R_K \approx 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2\text{K/W}$ at the TFLN-Diamond interface. For a flux of 5000 W/m^2 , the temperature jump $\Delta T = Q \cdot R_K \approx 0.5 \text{ K}$. This confirms that the active layer remains close to the bath temperature, preventing thermal runaway.

4.8 Robustness to Drive Noise

Hatridge et al. (2013) discuss control noise. Topological nature of code provides protection against fluctuations in drive amplitude and phase. Error rate scales weakly with drive noise, provided gap remains open. Robustness relaxes requirements for control electronics.

4.9 Asymptotic Error

Suppression

Diehl et al. (2008) suggest perfect state preparation in limit of infinite cooling rate. As cooling rate approaches infinity, error population approaches zero. Residual error is determined by thermal heating rate. This establishes fundamental limit of architecture.

4.10 Invariance of Topological

Phase

Haldane (1988) showed Chern number is a topological invariant. Logical information is encoded in this property. Local dissipation does not alter global topology. Structural integrity is guaranteed by topology. Dissipation merely removes entropy without corrupting invariant.

4.11 Resolving Measurement

Paradox

Parrondo et al. (2015) discuss feedback thermodynamics. Reservoir removes entropy without recording information. Process is thermodynamically allowed because entropy is transferred to bath. This resolves apparent paradox of correcting errors without observation.

4.12 Predictive Thermal

Signatures

Binder et al. (2015) discuss heat generation. Error correction generates specific heat signature in reservoir resistors. Heat flux correlates with logical error rate, providing falsifiability condition. Observation of this signature would confirm operation of protocol.

4.13 Geometry of Cooling

Manifold

Leghtas et al. (2015) describe the quantum manifold. Logical subspace forms a valley in Liouvillian landscape. System trajectories flow down gradient towards code space. Geometric interpretation aids in design of dissipative operators.

4.14 Synthesis of

Thermodynamic Logic

Autonomous dissipative quantum processing solves scalability problems of hybrid model by internalizing control loop. Embracing thermodynamics enables robust, hardware-intrinsic quantum processing. Synthesis provides path forward for scaling quantum computers.

References

- Binder, F., Vinjanampathy, S., Modi, K., & Goold, J. (2015). Quantum thermodynamics of general quantum processes. *Physical Review E*, 91, 032119.
- Dennis, E., Kitaev, A., Landahl, A., & Preskill, J. (2002). Topological quantum memory. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 43, 4452-4505.
- Diehl, S., Micheli, A., Kantian, A., Kraus, B., Büchler, H. P., & Zoller, P. (2008). Quantum states and phases in driven open quantum systems with cold atoms. *Nature Physics*, 4, 878-883.

- Douçot, B., & Ioffe, L. B. (2012). Physical implementation of topological quantum computation. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 75, 072001.
- Fowler, A. G., Mariantoni, M., Martinis, J. M., & Cleland, A. N. (2012). Surface codes: Towards practical large-scale quantum computation. *Physical Review A*, 86, 032324.
- Goold, J., Huber, M., Riera, A., del Rio, L., & Skrzypczyk, P. (2016). The role of quantum information in thermodynamics. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 49, 143001.
- Graebner, J. E., Jin, S., Kammlott, G. W., Bacon, B., Seibles, L., & Banholzer, W. (1992). Thermal conductivity of CVD diamond films on silicon. *Nature*, 359, 401-403.
- Grimm, A., Frattini, N. E., Puri, S., Mundhada, S. O., Touzard, S., Mirrahimi, M., ... & Devoret, M. H. (2020). Stabilization and operation of a Kerr-cat qubit. *Nature*, 584, 205-209.
- Haldane, F. D. M. (1988). Model for a Quantum Hall Effect without Landau Levels. *Physical Review Letters*, 61, 2015.
- Hatridge, M., Shankar, S., Mirrahimi, M., Schackert, F., Geerlings, K., Brecht, T., ... & Devoret, M. H. (2013). Quantum Back-Action of an Individual Variable-Strength Measurement. *Science*, 339, 178-181.
- Kitaev, A. Y. (2003). Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons. *Annals of Physics*, 303, 2-30.
- Kraus, B., Büchler, H. P., Diehl, S., Kantian, A., Micheli, A., & Zoller, P. (2008). Preparation of entangled states by quantum bath engineering. *Physical Review A*, 78, 042307.
- Landauer, R. (1961). Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 5, 183-191.
- Leghtas, Z., Kirchmair, G., Vlastakis, B., Schoelkopf, R. J., Devoret, M. H., & Mirrahimi, M. (2015). Confining the state of light to a quantum manifold by engineered two-photon loss. *Science*, 347, 853-857.
- Manucharyan, V. E., Koch, J., Glazman, L. I., & Devoret, M. H. (2009). Fluxonium: Single Cooper-Pair Circuit Free of Charge Offsets. *Science*, 326, 113-116.
- Nandkishore, R., & Huse, D. A. (2015). Many-body localization and thermalization in quantum statistical mechanics. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics*, 6, 15-38.
- Parrondo, J. M. R., Horowitz, J. M., & Sagawa, T. (2015). Thermodynamics of information. *Nature Physics*, 11, 131-139.
- Ristè, D., Poletto, S., Huang, M.-Z., Bruno, A., Vesterinen, V., Saira, O.-P., & DiCarlo, L. (2015). Detecting bit-flip errors in a logical qubit using stabilizer measurements. *Nature Communications*, 6, 6983.
- Shankar, S., Hatridge, M., Leghtas, Z., Sliwa, K. M., Narla, A., Vool, U., ... & Devoret, M. H. (2013). Autonomously stabilized entanglement between two superconducting quantum bits. *Nature*, 504, 419-422.
- Verstraete, F., Wolf, M. M., & Cirac, J. I. (2009). Quantum computation and quantum-state engineering driven by dissipation. *Nature Physics*, 5, 633-636.
- Wen, X.-G. (2002). Quantum Orders and Symmetric Spin Liquids. *Physical Review B*, 65, 165113.

Appendix A: Formal

Derivations

System dynamics are governed by the Lindblad master equation for an open quantum system:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -i[H_{TC}, \rho] + \sum_{\mu} \kappa_{\mu} \left(L_{\mu} \rho L_{\mu}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \{L_{\mu}^{\dagger} L_{\mu}, \rho\} \right)$$

The Hamiltonian is defined as the toric code Hamiltonian:

$$H_{TC} = -\Delta \left(\sum_s A_s + \sum_p B_p \right)$$

where $A_s = \prod_{j \in \text{star}(s)} X_j$ represents vertex stabilizers and $B_p = \prod_{j \in \text{boundary}(p)} Z_j$ represents plaquette stabilizers.

Jump operators L_{μ} are designed to satisfy commutation relations $[L_{\mu}, A_s] = [L_{\mu}, B_p] = 0$ for all stabilizers not involved in specific error μ . Operators map excited error state $|E_{\mu}\rangle$ to ground state $|G\rangle$. Specifically, for a bit-flip error on qubit k , associated jump operator is $L_k = \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma_k^{-}$, engineered via external drive to be resonant only with error transition energy 2Δ .

Steady state condition $\mathcal{L}(\rho_{ss}) = 0$ implies ρ_{ss} must reside in kernel of all L_{μ} . Since kernel of error-correcting jump operators coincides with ground state manifold of H_{TC} , steady state is code space $\mathcal{C}$.

Appendix B: Notation and Glossary |

- **Autonomous dissipative quantum processing:**

Framework where error correction is performed by the natural relaxation dynamics of the system rather than by external feedback loops. - **Jump operator (L_{μ}):** An operator in the Lindblad master equation describing the coupling of the system to a specific dissipation channel (reservoir). - **Kapitza resistance:** The thermal boundary resistance at the interface between two dissimilar materials (e.g., TFLN and Diamond), which limits the heat flow and defines the thermodynamic boundary condition. - **Lindblad master equation:** The differential equation describing the non-unitary time evolution of the density matrix ρ of an open quantum system. - **Stabilizer (S_p):** A multi-qubit operator (e.g., A_s, B_p) whose +1 eigenspace defines the logical code subspace. - **Toric code:** A topological quantum error correcting code defined on a 2D lattice with periodic boundary conditions, characterized by vertex and plaquette stabilizers. - **Topological gap (Δ):** The energy difference between the logical ground state and the first excited error state, engineered to be much larger than the thermal energy $k_B T$ of the effective reservoir. |

Appendix C: Algorithmic Logic

Operational logic of autonomous processor is defined as continuous thermodynamic cycle:

Initialize: System is cooled to base temperature, allowing it to relax naturally into ground state of H_{TC} .

Drive: Continuous microwave/optical tones are applied to activate engineered jump operators L_{μ} .

Perturb: Environmental noise creates stochastic error excitation $|E\rangle$ with energy 2Δ .

Absorb: Excitation absorbs photon from coherent drive field.

Emit: System decays to ground state $|G\rangle$ by emitting phonon into engineered reservoir.

Reset: Phonon is thermalized in resistive termination (dissipative sink), rendering process irreversible.

Repeat: Cycle occurs continuously, asynchronously, and in parallel for all qubits in lattice.