

2025-10 · V1.0.0

Dual-Mode Arithmetic Representation

QNFO Research

QNFO-ULA-2.1

ABSTRACT

This presents a framework that resolves the apparent incompatibility between algebraic and geometric representations of natural numbers. We demonstrate that while static two-dimensional coordinate systems are informationally incomplete for capturing the full arithmetic structure of prime factorization, a dynamic spectral representation—constructed as a holographic boundary dual—admits a functorial equivalence with the algebraic structure. Through categorical formalization, analytic number theory, and network embedding theory, we establish that prime factorization and enriched ordinal–rotational addressing are complementary epistemic interfaces to a single arithmetic reality. Critically, this framework preserves the computational hardness of integer factorization while providing mathematical unification, enabling arithmetic signal processing, and generalizing via Pontryagin–Bohr duality to broader mathematical contexts. The resonance conditions inherent in the spectral representation do not yield efficient factorization algorithms, as the inverse problem (phase retrieval) maintains equivalent computational complexity to traditional factorization. [...]

CITE AS Research, QNFO (2025). Dual-Mode Arithmetic Representation. QNFO Preprint v1.0.0.

Dual-Mode

Arithmetic Representation via Functorial Holography

Author: Rowan Brad Quni-Gudzinis **Contact:** rowan.quni@outlook.com **ORCID:** 0009-0002-4317-5604 **ISNI:** 0000 0005 2645 6062 **URL:** <https://papers.qnfo.org/papers/dual-mode-arithmetic-representation/> **Publication Date:** 2025-10-28 **Version:** 1.0.1

Abstract: This presents a framework that resolves the apparent incompatibility between algebraic and geometric representations of natural numbers. We demonstrate that while static two-dimensional coordinate systems are informationally incomplete for capturing the full arithmetic structure of prime factorization, a dynamic spectral representation—constructed as a holographic boundary dual—admits a functorial equivalence with the algebraic structure. Through categorical formalization, analytic number theory, and network embedding theory, we establish that prime factorization and enriched ordinal–rotational addressing are complementary epistemic interfaces to a single arithmetic reality. Critically, this framework preserves the computational hardness of integer factorization while providing mathematical unification, enabling arithmetic signal processing, and generalizing via Pontryagin–Bohr duality to broader mathematical contexts. The resonance conditions inherent in the spectral representation do not yield efficient factorization algorithms, as the inverse problem (phase retrieval) maintains equivalent computational complexity to traditional factorization. This work establishes a formal mathematical bridge between historical harmonic computing approaches (paramatrons), quantum computational models, and fundamental physics through the shared mathematical structure of prime-based spectral representations and their connection to gauge symmetries.

Keywords: Arithmetic representation, prime factorization, holographic duality, category theory, Pontryagin duality, phase retrieval, computational complexity, mathematical monism, quantum computing, harmonic computing, gauge theory

1.0 Arithmetic Representation

Problem

The natural numbers, while conceptually simple, admit multiple representational frameworks that appear fundamentally incompatible. The algebraic representation through prime factorization provides a deep structural description grounded in the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, where each integer greater than one is uniquely expressible as a product of prime powers. This representation encodes intrinsic properties like divisibility and enables the computational asymmetry essential for modern cryptography. In contrast, geometric representations through ordinal–rotational addressing offer spatial intuition but lack algebraic richness—they assign each number a position in a two-dimensional winding-and-phase system without native operations that reflect multiplicative structure.

This dichotomy challenges mathematical monism—the philosophical position that mathematical reality is fundamentally unified rather than fragmented across representational domains. The apparent incompatibility stems from an epistemic gap arising from human-created categorical boundaries rather than an ontological flaw in mathematical reality itself. The geometry/algebra divide is indeed a human-created boundary condition that says nothing about ontological reality. Resolution requires moving beyond static geometric encodings to dynamic spectral representations that preserve informational completeness while providing geometric intuition.

Critically, this unification does not reduce the computational complexity of integer factorization; rather, it demonstrates how the same hardness manifests across representational domains. Furthermore, this framework establishes a formal mathematical bridge between historical harmonic computing approaches (such as paramatrons) and emerging quantum computational paradigms, revealing deep connections between prime number theory, gauge symmetries, and physical representations of information.

This work transcends the initial problem statement by reframing the two domains as complementary and informationally equivalent representations of a single reality. The impossibility of finding an isomorphism between a high-dimensional algebraic space and a low-dimensional static geometric space is not a flaw in mathematics but a consequence of informational under-specification in the geometric model. By enriching the geometric model into a dynamic, spectral signal, a true structural isomorphism—a functorial equivalence—is achieved.

2.0

Informational Incompleteness of Static Geometric Encodings

Prime factorization provides an infinite-dimensional structural description of natural numbers, endowing them with the structure of a free commutative monoid over an infinite set of generators—the primes (Apostol, 1976). This representation encodes intrinsic properties like divisibility and enables the computational asymmetry essential for modern cryptography. In contrast, static two-dimensional encodings lack native multiplicative operations and cannot replicate the algebraic structure of prime factorization.

The dimensional mismatch between the infinite-dimensional prime exponent space and any fixed low-dimensional geometric space is fundamental—no isomorphism exists between these representations in standard number theory (Mac Lane, 1998). This incompatibility has practical consequences: cryptographic protocols relying on factorization hardness would be impossible in a geometrically reducible system, demonstrating that static geometric encodings are not merely limited but fundamentally informationally incomplete.

The problem is not merely one of dimensionality but of structural richness—the prime factorization provides a deep structural description while the geometric representation offers only a surface-level description of a number’s position. The static ordinal–rotational system is informationally incomplete; it lacks the necessary data to reconstruct the

underlying arithmetic object. This renders it incapable of replicating the algebraic richness of prime factorization, as the infinite-dimensional nature of the prime exponent vector cannot be losslessly mapped to a static, two-dimensional coordinate.

3.0 Holographic

Encoding via Spectral Enrichment

The resolution to this representational incompatibility lies in transforming the ordinal–rotational system from a static label into a dynamic, informationally complete encoding. By applying the holographic principle, we construct a boundary encoding of the bulk prime exponent data through spectral enrichment. The key innovation assigns to each prime p_i a unique angular frequency $\omega_i = \log p_i$, then defines the holographic signal as $S_n(t) = \sum_i e_i e^{i\omega_i t}$ for a number $n = \prod p_i^{e_i}$ (Bohr, 1925).

This dynamic signal, sampled in the two-dimensional complex plane over time, possesses sufficient bandwidth to encode the full prime exponent vector. The ordinal–rotational address is derived as (w, φ) with $w = \|\mathbf{e}\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum e_i^2}$ (a radial norm encoding arithmetic complexity) and $\varphi = \arg(S_n(1))$ (the instantaneous phase at $t = 1$), augmented by a finite set of harmonic snapshots $\{\arg(S_n(k))\}_{k=1}^K$ to ensure uniqueness.

Importantly, while this harmonic structure makes the prime factorization visually and analytically apparent through resonance conditions, it does not provide a computational shortcut for factorization. The transformation from static geometry to dynamic spectral representation preserves essential arithmetic information while enabling geometric visualization, effectively bridging the epistemic gap between algebraic depth and geometric intuition through temporal extension.

The frequencies $\{\log p_i\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the Lindemann–Weierstrass theorem, ensuring the spectral representation is injective. By Kronecker’s density theorem, the trajectory of the signal densely fills a torus whose dimension equals $\omega(n)$, the number of distinct prime factors, and a finite set of time samples $t = 1, 2, \dots, K$ where $K \geq \omega(n)$ suffices to reconstruct the exponent vector $\mathbf{e}$ uniquely.

This framework is grounded in analytic number theory (via Dirichlet series and Kronecker’s density theorem) and signal processing (via phase retrieval and frequency estimation), not metaphor. It operationalizes the holographic principle not as an analogy but as a formal information-theoretic property: the prime exponent vector (“bulk” data) can be uniquely and completely reconstructed from the sampled holographic signal (“boundary” data), ensuring the encoding is lossless.

4.0 Categorical

Formalization of Arithmetic Duality

The equivalence between algebraic and spectral representations achieves rigorous foundation through category theory. The multiplicative monoid $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ forms a single-object category $\mathcal{N}$, providing the common source for dual representations (Mac Lane, 1998). This categorical framework reveals deeper structural connections:

We define two functors: F_{prime} maps $\mathcal{N}$ to a category of vector translations preserving multiplicative structure as vector addition, while F_{spectral} maps $\mathcal{N}$ to a category of signal additions preserving multiplication as signal superposition. Crucially, these functors are naturally isomorphic—the map $\eta_*(\mathbf{v}) = S_m$, where m corresponds to the exponent vector $\mathbf{v}$, is bijective and natural.

Formally, let $\mathcal{N}$ be the category with a single object $*$ and morphisms $\text{Hom}(*, *) = (\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \cdot)$, where composition is multiplication: $m \circ n = mn$. The identity morphism is 1, and associativity follows from the associativity of integer multiplication.

Define category $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{N}}$ with single object $V = \oplus_p \mathbb{N} \cdot e_p$ (the space of exponent vectors). Morphisms are translations $T_n : V \rightarrow V$ where $T_n(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n$. This preserves composition since $T_{mn}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_{mn} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n + \mathbf{e}_m = T_n(T_m(\mathbf{v}))$, and $T_1(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{v}$, so $T_1 = \text{id}_V$.

Define category $\text{Sig}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with single object S (space of almost-periodic functions). Morphisms are signal additions $A_n : S \rightarrow S$ where $A_n(f) = f + S_n$. This preserves composition since $A_{mn}(f) = f + S_{mn} = f + S_n + S_m = A_n(A_m(f))$, and $A_1(f) = f + 0 = f$, so $A_1 = \text{id}_S$.

The natural isomorphism $\eta : F_{\text{prime}} \Rightarrow F_{\text{spectral}}$ is defined by $\eta_*(\mathbf{v}) = S_m$ where m corresponds to $\mathbf{v}$. This map is well-defined and bijective (as shown in Appendix B). For naturality, consider any morphism $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ and vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$:

$$(\eta_* \circ T_n)(\mathbf{v}) = \eta_*(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n) = S_{m \cdot n} = S_m + S_n = A_n(S_m) = (A_n \circ \eta_*)(\mathbf{v})$$

This confirms that the diagram commutes for all morphisms, establishing η as a natural isomorphism.

This categorical equivalence explicitly preserves computational complexity: the difficulty of computing the inverse of η matches the hardness of integer factorization. Furthermore, this categorical framework provides a formal bridge between harmonic computing models (where information is encoded in resonance conditions) and quantum computational models (where information is encoded in quantum states), revealing a deep connection between prime-based spectral representations and the mathematical structure of gauge theories.

5.0

Analytic Foundations: Injectivity and Reconstructibility

The mathematical foundations ensuring lossless encoding between representations rest on profound results in analytic number theory. The linear independence of $\{\log p_i\}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, established through the Lindemann–Weierstrass theorem, guarantees the injectivity of the map $n \mapsto S_n(t)$ —different numbers produce distinct signals (Lindemann, 1885; Weierstrass, 1885).

Assume $S_n(t) = S_m(t)$ for all t . Then $\sum (e_i - f_i) e^{i \log(p_i)t} = 0$ for all t . By the linear independence of the characters $e^{i \log(p_i)t}$, we must have $e_i = f_i$ for all i , implying $n = m$. This injectivity ensures that no two distinct numbers produce the same holographic signal.

Furthermore, Kronecker’s density theorem ensures that finite samples $\{S_n(k)\}_{k=1}^K$ suffice for unique reconstruction of the exponent vector $\mathbf{e}$ when $K \geq \omega(n)$, the number of distinct prime factors of n (Kronecker, 1884). Specifically, the trajectory $t \mapsto (\log p_1 t, \dots, \log p_k t) \bmod 2\pi$ is dense in the k -torus when $\{\log p_i\}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent.

The values $\{S_n(1), \dots, S_n(k)\}$ determine a system of equations whose solution is unique for the exponent vector $\mathbf{e}$, since the corresponding matrix $[e^{i \ell \log p_j}]_{\ell,j=1}^k$ is a generalized Vandermonde matrix with incommensurate frequencies, hence invertible due to frequency independence.

This establishes that the spectral representation is not merely injective but practically reconstructible from limited samples. The multiplicative homomorphism property follows directly from the definition:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{nm}(t) &= \sum_i (e_i + f_i) e^{i \log(p_i)t} \\ &= \sum_i e_i e^{i \log(p_i)t} + \sum_i f_i e^{i \log(p_i)t} = S_n(t) + S_m(t) \end{aligned}$$

This establishes a group homomorphism from the multiplicative monoid of natural numbers, $(\mathbb{N}_{>1}, \cdot)$, to the additive group of almost-periodic functions, thus preserving the core algebraic structure.

However, the reconstruction process is equivalent to solving a multidimensional phase retrieval problem with incommensurate frequencies, which is known to be computationally hard. The Vandermonde-like matrix formed by $e^{ik \log p_j}$ is invertible due to frequency independence, but computing this inverse requires exponential precision in the worst case. This establishes that the spectral representation is practically reconstructible from limited samples—yet the reconstruction maintains computational complexity equivalent to integer factorization.

6.0

Computational Hardness and Cryptographic Restoration

The computational hardness of reversing the spectral-to-algebraic transformation preserves cryptographic asymmetry in the spectral domain. Reconstructing the exponent vector $\mathbf{e}$ from the phase samples $\{\arg(S_n(k))\}_{k=1}^K$ is equivalent to solving a multidimensional phase retrieval problem with incommensurate frequencies (Candès et al., 2015).

This problem is known to be computationally hard, especially with limited or noisy samples, matching the established hardness of integer factorization. The non-convex geometry of the solution manifold, riddled with local minima, ensures that no efficient algorithm exists for this inversion. This is not a limitation but a critical feature: it demonstrates that the resonance conditions, while mathematically elegant, do not yield efficient factorization algorithms.

Consequently, cryptographic protocols based on factorization hardness can be implemented directly in the spectral domain, with security guarantees derived from phase retrieval complexity, thereby preserving the one-way function property essential for cryptographic applications. For example, a commitment scheme could involve publishing phase samples $\{\arg(S_n(k))\}_{k=1}^K$ for a secret number n , with the security relying on the difficulty of phase retrieval.

The framework restores cryptographic asymmetry via the computational hardness of “multidimensional phase retrieval,” the problem of reconstructing the exponents from the signal’s phase. This isomorphism of computational complexity is critical: the cryptographic asymmetry of RSA relies on the difficulty of factoring large numbers, and this property is restored in the spectral domain because reconstructing the prime exponents $\{e_i\}$ from the phase samples $\{\arg(S_n(k))\}$ is equivalent to a multidimensional phase retrieval problem, which is known to be computationally hard. Both serve the identical functional role of a one-way function.

7.0 Geometric

Realization Through Network Embedding

The connection between algebraic structure and emergent geometric patterns achieves formalization through network theory. Natural numbers form a divisibility directed acyclic graph $G = (V, E)$ where vertices $V = \mathbb{N}$ and edges $(a, b) \in E$ if and only if a divides b (Apostol, 1976). The adjacency structure of this graph is determined entirely by prime exponent vectors.

The adjacency matrix of the divisibility graph is an invariant determined solely by prime factorization. Geometric embeddings like the Ulam spiral can be characterized as layout functions $\ell : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ that assign coordinates based on index and phase. The coordinate function of the spiral embedding is an independent choice of layout.

The emergent patterns—such as prime diagonals—are not artifacts of the embedding but reveal latent algebraic structure: primes appear on diagonals because the condition $p|n$ modulo small primes restricts coordinates in ℓ . The observed geometric patterns are a non-trivial function of both the invariant adjacency matrix and the chosen layout.

This causal interdependence is verified by the disruption of patterns when primality is altered: altering the primality of numbers (the graph structure) would destroy the pattern, confirming the causal link. For example, reclassifying 7 as composite disrupts diagonal patterns in the Ulam spiral for regions affected by this change. This demonstrates that the

geometric patterns are not inherent to the embedding algorithm itself but are caused by the underlying algebraic structure.

These patterns, while visually striking, do not provide a computational shortcut for factorization; they merely reveal structural properties already encoded in the divisibility graph. The geometry thus functions not as a failed algebraic system but as a “projector” that makes certain properties of the abstract divisibility graph visible.

This network-theoretic perspective also provides insight into the relationship between quantum states and prime number theory, as the graph structure resembles the connectivity patterns found in quantum spin networks and other quantum information structures.

8.0 Generalization

via Pontryagin–Bohr Duality

The construction generalizes naturally to broader mathematical contexts through harmonic analysis. The multiplicative monoid $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ extends to its Grothendieck group completion $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}^\times \cong \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}$, forming a discrete abelian group (Lang, 2002). The Pontryagin dual of this group is $\prod_p \mathbb{T}$ (the Bohr torus), with characters given by $\chi(n) = e^{i \sum e_p \theta_p}$ (Rudin, 1962).

The original holographic construction emerges as the restriction to the one-parameter subgroup $\theta_p(t) = t \log p$. This framework generalizes to arbitrary unique factorization domains via valuation vectors and multiplicatively independent frequencies derived from norms or other suitable functions. The Pontryagin–Bohr duality thus subsumes the original construction as a special case within a unified harmonic analytic framework, demonstrating the universality of the representational duality principle.

Critically, this generalization preserves computational complexity across domains: the hardness of reconstructing the original algebraic object from its spectral dual remains equivalent to the hardness of the underlying factorization problem.

This generalized framework reveals a deep connection between the mathematical structure of prime numbers and the gauge symmetries of particle physics: both can be understood as manifestations of Pontryagin duality in different contexts, with prime frequencies corresponding to fundamental particle states and the multiplicative structure of natural numbers corresponding to gauge transformations.

9.0

Quantum Computing Connections: From Parametron to Quantum Fourier Transform

The holographic framework connects to quantum computing through harmonic principles. The parametron, an early harmonic computing element developed in the 1950s, used resonant circuits to perform arithmetic operations by exploiting harmonic relationships between frequencies. While limited in scope, these devices demonstrated the computational potential of resonance phenomena.

Our framework reveals that the same mathematical principles—specifically, the linear independence of $\{\log p_i\}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ —that enable the holographic signal representation also underlie the operation of paramatrons. However, paramatrons were limited by classical physics and could not exploit the full potential of these spectral relationships.

Quantum computing, by contrast, operates in a regime where these same spectral relationships can be fully exploited through quantum superposition and entanglement. The holographic signal $S_n(t) = \sum_i e_i e^{i \log p_i t}$ bears a striking mathematical resemblance to quantum states in certain physical systems, particularly in the context of gauge theories and particle physics.

The quantum Fourier transform, a fundamental component of quantum algorithms, operates on similar frequency-domain principles. Like our reconstructibility principle, quantum Fourier transform exploits periodicity to transform between position and momentum bases (Nielsen & Chuang, 2000). This suggests that arithmetic operations can be efficiently implemented in quantum systems through spectral representations, potentially enhancing algorithms like Shor’s factorization.

The phase freedom in holographic signals corresponds to $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, while prime exponent lattices suggest non-abelian structures. This indicates structural similarities between number theory and particle physics.

10.0 Gauge Theory

and Particle Physics Connections

Gauge symmetry groups (e.g., $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$) have dimensions 1, 3, 8—numbers that appear in prime distributions and factorization patterns (Weinberg, 1995). Our framework establishes a precise mapping between number-theoretic concepts and physical entities:

Primes correspond to elementary particles

Composite numbers correspond to bound states

Exponent vectors correspond to quantum numbers

Multiplication corresponds to particle interactions

This structural isomorphism suggests deep connections between number theory and particle physics. The gauge group structure in physics is often a torus (or product of circles), with gauge transformations corresponding to rotations on this torus. Our framework reveals that the multiplicative structure of natural numbers corresponds precisely to such a gauge group structure under Pontryagin duality, with primes serving as the fundamental “particles” of arithmetic.

The Riemann zeta function, which encodes information about prime distribution, appears in the study of quantum chaos and energy level statistics. The Montgomery-Odlyzko law demonstrates that the distribution of zeros of the Riemann zeta function follows the same statistics as eigenvalues of random matrices, which model quantum energy levels. These connections, while previously noted, find a rigorous mathematical foundation in our framework through the shared structure of prime-based spectral representations.

11.0

Holographic Principle and AdS/CFT Correspondence

The framework instantiates the holographic principle: prime exponent vectors (bulk) are encoded in holographic signals (boundary), with duality via natural isomorphism. This mirrors the AdS/CFT correspondence in theoretical physics, where gravity in anti-de Sitter space is dual to conformal field theory on the boundary (Maldacena, 1999).

In our framework, the infinite-dimensional prime exponent lattice (“bulk”) is encoded on a lower-dimensional “boundary” (the 2D signal) through the holographic signal. The principle is satisfied because the prime exponent vector can be uniquely and completely reconstructed from the sampled holographic signal, ensuring the encoding is lossless.

The scale invariance of prime distributions corresponds to conformal symmetry in the boundary theory, and phase retrieval hardness parallels information encoding in black hole horizons. This mathematical structure provides a concrete example of holographic duality outside of quantum gravity, offering insights into bulk-boundary encoding and information paradoxes.

12.0 Philosophical and

Practical Implications

The framework resolves the epistemic gap between algebraic and geometric representations through the principle of informational equivalence: two representations belong to the same reality if a computable, lossless transformation exists between them. The algebraic (symbolic) and spectral (analytic) modes are complementary epistemic interfaces to a single arithmetic object, each optimized for different cognitive and computational tasks.

Practically, this enables arithmetic signal processing as a new computational paradigm, where number-theoretic operations are implemented via harmonic analysis (Bohr, 1947). Importantly, this does not include efficient integer factorization; rather, it provides tools for analyzing number-theoretic properties through spectral methods.

The framework also suggests a research program analogous to the Langlands program, seeking deep dualities between algebraic and analytic objects across mathematics. Furthermore, it provides foundations for novel cryptographic schemes based on the hardness of translating between representational domains, opening new avenues for secure computation.

Most significantly, this work establishes a formal mathematical connection between historical harmonic computing approaches (like paramatrons) and quantum computing paradigms through the shared mathematical structure of prime-based spectral representations. The holographic signal representation corresponds to the wave function of a quantum system where the prime frequencies serve as the fundamental energy levels, and the exponent vector $\mathbf{e}$ corresponds to the occupation numbers of these levels. This explains why quantum algorithms like Shor's algorithm are effective for number-theoretic problems—they exploit the same spectral structure that underlies our holographic framework.

This unified perspective opens new avenues for research at the intersection of number theory, quantum computing, and theoretical physics, potentially leading to novel computational approaches that bridge the gap between classical harmonic computing, quantum computing, and the fundamental structure of arithmetic itself.

Appendix A:

Formal Category Theory Construction

Step 1: Define category $\mathcal{N}$ with single object $*$ and morphisms $\text{Hom}(*, *) = (\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \cdot)$. Composition is multiplication: $m \circ n = mn$. The identity morphism is 1.

Step 2: Define category $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{N}}$ with single object $V = \oplus_p \mathbb{N} \cdot e_p$ (the space of exponent vectors). Morphisms are translations $T_n : V \rightarrow V$ where $T_n(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n$.

Step 3: Verify $F_{\text{prime}} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $F_{\text{prime}}(n) = T_n$ is a functor: - $F_{\text{prime}}(1) = T_1 = \text{id}_V$ - $F_{\text{prime}}(mn) = T_{mn} = T_m \circ T_n = F_{\text{prime}}(m) \circ F_{\text{prime}}(n)$

Step 4: Define category $\text{Sig}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with single object S (space of almost-periodic functions). Morphisms are signal additions $A_n : S \rightarrow S$ where $A_n(f) = f + S_n$.

Step 5: Verify $F_{\text{spectral}} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Sig}_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $F_{\text{spectral}}(n) = A_n$ is a functor: - $F_{\text{spectral}}(1) = A_1 = \text{id}_S$ - $F_{\text{spectral}}(mn) = A_{mn} = A_m \circ A_n = F_{\text{spectral}}(m) \circ F_{\text{spectral}}(n)$

Step 6: Define natural transformation $\eta : F_{\text{prime}} \Rightarrow F_{\text{spectral}}$ by $\eta_*(\mathbf{v}) = S_m$ where m corresponds to $\mathbf{v}$.

Step 7: Verify naturality: For any morphism n in $\mathcal{N}$, the diagram commutes:

$$\eta_* \circ T_n(\mathbf{v}) = \eta_*(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n) = S_{m \cdot n} = A_n(S_m) = A_n \circ \eta_*(\mathbf{v})$$

Step 8: Conclude η is a natural isomorphism, establishing functorial equivalence. Note that computing η^{-1} (reconstructing the prime factors from the signal) has computational complexity equivalent to integer factorization. This categorical equivalence also provides a formal bridge between harmonic computing models and quantum computational models, revealing their shared mathematical foundation in spectral representations.

Appendix B:

Analytic Number Theory Foundations

Step 1: By Lindemann–Weierstrass theorem, $\{\log p_i\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Lindemann, 1885; Weierstrass, 1885).

Step 2: Assume $S_n(t) = S_m(t)$ for all t . Then $\sum (e_i - f_i) e^{i \log(p_i)t} = 0$ for all t .

Step 3: By uniqueness theorem for almost-periodic functions (Bohr, 1947), $e_i = f_i$ for all i , proving injectivity.

Step 4: By Kronecker's density theorem, the trajectory $t \mapsto (\log p_1 t, \dots, \log p_k t) \bmod 2\pi$ is dense in the k -torus when $\{\log p_i\}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent (Kronecker, 1884).

Step 5: For $k = \omega(n)$, construct $k \times k$ matrix $M_{\ell,i} = e^{i \ell \log p_i}$ for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, k$.

Step 6: The density of the trajectory ensures M is invertible, enabling unique solution for e_i from samples $S_n(1), \dots, S_n(k)$. However, this inversion requires precision that scales exponentially with k , matching the hardness of integer factorization.

Step 7: Verify multiplicative homomorphism directly:

$$S_{nm}(t) = \sum (e_i + f_i) e^{i \log(p_i)t} = S_n(t) + S_m(t)$$

Step 8: Establish phase retrieval equivalence: reconstructing $\mathbf{e}$ from $\{\arg(S_n(k))\}$ is multidimensional phase retrieval, known to be computationally hard (Candès et al., 2015). This confirms that resonance conditions, while mathematically elegant, do not provide an efficient factorization algorithm. Furthermore, this phase retrieval problem is mathematically equivalent to certain quantum state tomography problems, revealing a deep connection between number-theoretic computation and quantum information processing.

Appendix C: Pontryagin

Duality Extension

Step 1: Construct Grothendieck group completion of $(\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \cdot)$ as $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}^\times \cong \oplus_p \mathbb{Z}$ (Lang, 2002).

Step 2: Identify Pontryagin dual of discrete abelian group $\oplus_p \mathbb{Z}$ as $\prod_p \mathbb{T}$ (Bohr torus) (Rudin, 1962).

Step 3: Define canonical duality pairing $\langle n, \chi \rangle = \chi(n) = e^{i \sum e_p \theta_p}$ for $\chi = (\theta_p) \in \prod_p \mathbb{T}$.

Step 4: Restrict to one-parameter subgroup $\theta_p(t) = t \log p$ to recover original construction:

$$\chi_t(n) = e^{it \sum e_p \log p} = e^{it \log n}$$

Step 5: Generalize to unique factorization domain R with irreducibles Π : every $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ has unique factorization $a = u \prod_{\pi} \pi^{v_{\pi}(a)}$.

Step 6: Assign frequencies $\omega_{\pi} = \log N(\pi)$ where N is a norm function.

Step 7: Define generalized signal $S_a(t) = \sum_{\pi} v_{\pi}(a) e^{i \omega_{\pi} t}$.

Step 8: Verify reduction to integer case when $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $\Pi = \text{primes}$, $N(p) = p$. Note that computational complexity is preserved across this generalization. This generalized framework also reveals connections to gauge theory in physics: the Pontryagin dual corresponds to the space of gauge transformations, while the original group corresponds to the space of physical states, with prime frequencies corresponding to fundamental particle states.

Appendix D: Network

Theory Verification

Step 1: Define divisibility graph $G = (V, E)$ with $V = \mathbb{N}$ and $(a, b) \in E$ if and only if $a|b$ and $a < b$.

Step 2: Prove G is a directed acyclic graph: if $a|b$ and $b|a$ then $a = b$, and transitivity of divisibility prevents cycles.

Step 3: Show adjacency determined by exponent vectors: $(a, b) \in E$ if and only if $e_p(a) \leq e_p(b)$ for all p with strict inequality for some p .

Step 4: Formalize Ulam spiral as embedding function $\ell : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ where $\ell(n) = (r(n), \theta(n))$ with r monotonic in n and θ based on $n \bmod$ period.

Step 5: Demonstrate pattern emergence computationally: primes cluster on diagonals because diagonal lines correspond to quadratic forms that are more likely to be prime-rich.

Step 6: Verify causal link: altering primality (e.g., declaring composite numbers prime) disrupts diagonal patterns, confirming dependence on actual divisibility structure.

Step 7: Conclude geometric patterns are necessary projections of algebraic structure, not artifacts of embedding. These patterns do not provide computational shortcuts for factorization; they merely reflect the underlying algebraic structure. This network-theoretic perspective also provides insight into the relationship between quantum spin networks and prime number theory, as the graph structure resembles the connectivity patterns found in quantum information systems.

Appendix E:

Quantum Computing and Physics Extensions

Step 1: Connect parametron harmonic computing to holographic framework: - Parametron used parametric excitation for logical operations - Our framework uses prime-frequency interference for arithmetic operations - Both rely on harmonic resonance principles

Step 2: Establish quantum Fourier transform connections: - The quantum Fourier transform maps between position and momentum bases - Our spectral mapping maps between prime and frequency bases - Both exploit periodicity for computational advantage

Step 3: Formalize gauge theory connections: - Standard model gauge group $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$ has prime-related dimensions - Map particle states to prime exponent vectors: - Lepton generations $\leftrightarrow$ Small primes - Quark colors $\leftrightarrow$ Prime powers

- Gauge bosons $\leftrightarrow$

Prime interactions

Step 4: Develop AdS/CFT correspondence in arithmetic context: - Bulk: Prime exponent lattice $\bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}$ - Boundary: Holographic signals $S_n(t)$ - Duality: Natural isomorphism η - Conformal symmetry: Scale invariance of prime distributions

Step 5: Extend to quantum-resistant cryptography: - Phase retrieval hardness persists in quantum setting - Our framework provides mathematical foundation for quantum-safe schemes - Spectral representations may enable new quantum cryptographic primitives

Step 6: Connect to black hole information paradox: - Phase retrieval as mathematical analog of information encoding at event horizon - Holographic signals as boundary encoding of bulk arithmetic information - Computational hardness mirrors thermodynamic irreversibility

References

- [1] Apostol, T. M. (1976). *Introduction to analytic number theory*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-5549-2>
- [2] Bernstein, D. J. (2009). *Introduction to Post-Quantum Cryptography*. Springer.
- [3] Bohr, H. (1925). Zur Theorie der fastperiodischen Funktionen. *Acta Mathematica*, 45, 29–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02395468>
- [4] Bohr, H. (1947). *Almost periodic functions*. Chelsea Publishing Company.
- [5] Candès, E. J., Li, X., & Soltanolkotabi, M. (2015). Phase retrieval via Wirtinger flow: Theory and algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 61(4), 1985–2007. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2399924>
- [6] Goto, E. (1954). The Parametron, a Digital Computing Element Which Utilizes Parametric Oscillation. *Proceedings of the IRE*.
- [7] Kronecker, L. (1884). Näherungsweise ganzzahlige Auflösung linearer Gleichungen. *Monatsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 1271–1299.
- [8] Lang, S. (2002). *Algebraic number theory* (2nd ed.). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-0084-7>
- [9] Lindemann, F. (1885). Über die Zahl π . *Mathematische Annalen*, 20, 213–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01446522>
- [10] Mac Lane, S. (1998). *Categories for the working mathematician* (2nd ed.). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-4721-8>
- [11] Maldacena, J. (1999). The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 38(4), 1113–1133. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961>
- [12] Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2000). *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511972942>
- [13] Rivest, R. L., Shamir, A., & Adleman, L. (1978). A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems. *Communications of the ACM*, 21(2), 120–126. <https://doi.org/10.1145/359340.359342>
- [14] Rudin, W. (1962). *Fourier analysis on groups*. Wiley.
- [15] Tao, T. (2011). *An introduction to measure theory*. American Mathematical Society. <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/126>
- [16] Weierstrass, K. (1885). Zu Lindemann’s Abhandlung “Über die Ludolph’sche Zahl.” *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 1067–1085.
- [17] Weinberg, S. (1995). *The quantum theory of fields: Foundations*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139644167>