

Tate's Thesis as a Template for Adelic Quantum Mechanics: Local-Global Structure and the Emergence of Archimedean Artifacts

Rowan Brad Quni-Gudzin

2026-07-26

Author: Rowan Brad Quni-Gudzin

Date: 2026-07-26

License: QNFO-ULA: <https://legal.qnfo.org/>

Abstract

Ostrowski's theorem classifies all completions of the rational numbers as the real numbers $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q}_\infty$ and the p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for each prime p . Standard quantum mechanics operates exclusively at the archimedean place—it is the ∞ -place readout of a richer structure. This paper proposes that Tate's 1950 thesis, which reformulated Hecke L -functions via adelic analysis with local zeta integrals at each completion integrated over the idele class group to yield a global functional equation, provides an explicit structural template for constructing adelic quantum mechanics. We map the correspondences: local zeta integrals $\rightarrow$ local quantum dynamics at each place; idele class group integration $\rightarrow$ global coherence via the product formula $\prod_v |x|_v = 1$; the functional equation $\rightarrow$ a consistency condition on adelic path integrals. The Dragovich programme has realized this template for free theories via adelic path integral factorization, and Huang, Stoica, and Zhong (2022) have demonstrated a proof-of-concept in conformal field theory. We further argue that the Langlands program—connecting automorphic forms on adelic groups to Galois representations—is most naturally interpreted as a statement about adelic physics whose mathematical structure was discovered before its physical interpretation. As a philosophical reframing, we suggest that the quantum measurement problem may be recast as a completion problem: which completion does the measurement apparatus operate in? Finally, we define and classify measure-theoretic artifacts of the archimedean place—physical structures that depend essentially on archimedean-unique properties—and argue that both ultraviolet and infrared divergences in quantum field theory are such artifacts, naturally regulated by p -adic geometry.

Keywords: Adelic quantum mechanics, Tate's thesis, Langlands program, Ostrowski's theorem, p -adic physics, measurement problem, adelic path integrals

1. Introduction

The standard formulation of quantum mechanics is built on real and complex numbers. The Hilbert space is over $\mathbb{C}$, the configuration space is $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the time evolution parameter is $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This choice of number field is rarely questioned—it is inherited from classical mechanics, which in turn inherited it from the calculus of Newton and Leibniz. But the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ admit infinitely many completions, and Ostrowski's theorem [1] establishes that every non-trivial absolute value on $\mathbb{Q}$ is equivalent to either the standard archimedean absolute value $|\cdot|_\infty$ or a p -adic absolute value $|\cdot|_p$ for some prime p . The real numbers are merely one completion among infinitely many equally fundamental ones.

This observation—that physics has arbitrarily restricted itself to a single completion of the underlying number field—is the starting point for the adelic physics program [2]. If the rational numbers are the correct arithmetic substrate for physical law, then a complete description must account for behavior at all places, not merely the archimedean one. The adèle ring $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{R} \times \prod'_p \mathbb{Q}_p$ is the natural domain for such a description.

The question this paper addresses is: **how do we construct such a description?** What is the correct structural template for an adelic quantum mechanics that reduces to standard quantum mechanics at the archimedean place but incorporates structure at the p -adic places as well?

Our answer is that the template already exists—and has existed since 1950. John Tate's doctoral thesis [3] reformulated Hecke L -functions via adelic analysis, with the following structure: at each completion (place) v , one defines a local zeta integral; the product over all places is integrated over the idele class group; and the resulting global object satisfies a functional equation relating its values at s and $1 - s$. This paper proposes that Tate's structural template maps onto adelic quantum mechanics with the following correspondences:

1. **Local zeta integrals** $\rightarrow$ **local quantum dynamics** at each place v
2. **Integration over the idele class group** $\rightarrow$ **global coherence** via the product formula $\prod_v |x|_v = 1$
3. **The functional equation** $\rightarrow$ **a consistency condition** on adelic path integrals
4. **The archimedean factor** $\rightarrow$ **a distinguished role** for the ∞ -place in measurement

This template is not purely speculative. The Dragovich programme (1995–present) has constructed adelic quantum mechanics for free theories via path integral factorization over places [4, 5, 6, 7]. The adelic harmonic oscillator, adelic path integrals for quadratic Lagrangians, and adelic generalized functions have all been realized explicitly. More recently, Huang, Stoica, and Zhong [8] demonstrated that an adelic conformal field theory over $\mathbb{Q}$ can encode number-theoretic structure—specifically, quadratic reciprocity—as a consequence of the partition function's factorization over places. This provides a proof-of-concept: physical field theories over $\mathbb{Q}$ can generate number-theoretic output, consistent with the structural analogy between Tate's thesis and quantum dynamics.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls the essential structure of Tate's thesis for a physics audience. Section 3 presents the template mapping explicitly. Section 4 reviews the Dragovich programme as a partial realization of the template. Section 5 discusses the Huang-Stoica-Zhong result as a proof-of-concept. Section 6 interprets the Langlands program as adelic physics discovered before its physical interpretation. Section 7, flagged as [PHILOSOPHY], reframes the measurement problem as a completion problem. Section 8 argues that UV and IR divergences in quantum field theory are archimedean artifacts naturally regulated by p -adic geometry. Section 9 defines and classifies measure-theoretic artifacts. Section 10 concludes with limitations, open problems, and a calibration register.

2. Tate's Thesis Recalled

[established] We summarize the essential structure of Tate's 1950 thesis [3] at a level accessible to a physicist familiar with harmonic analysis. The reader seeking a complete treatment should consult [9, 10].

2.1 Local Fields and Completions

A **local field** is a locally compact topological field. By a standard classification, every local field of characteristic zero is either $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, or a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for some prime p . For our purposes, we restrict to the completions of $\mathbb{Q}$: the archimedean completion $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q}_\infty$ and the p -adic completions $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

The p -adic absolute value is defined as follows. For nonzero $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, write $x = p^k \cdot a/b$ where $p \nmid a$ and $p \nmid b$. Then $|x|_p = p^{-k}$. This satisfies the **ultrametric** (strong triangle) inequality:

$$|x + y|_p \leq \max(|x|_p, |y|_p)$$

which is strictly stronger than the archimedean triangle inequality. The ultrametric property is the source of most qualitative differences between p -adic and real analysis: every triangle is isosceles, all "balls" are simultaneously open and closed, and there is no notion of connectedness.

2.2 The Adele Ring and Idele Group

The **adele ring** $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the restricted direct product of all completions:

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R} \times \prod_p' \mathbb{Q}_p$$

where the restricted product means that for all but finitely many primes p , the p -adic component lies in the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p \leq 1\}$. An adele is thus a tuple $x = (x_{\infty}, x_2, x_3, x_5, \dots)$ subject to this integrality condition.

The **idele group** $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the multiplicative group of the adele ring—elements $x \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $x \neq 0$ at every place and $|x_v|_v = 1$ for all but finitely many v .

The **product formula** is the central identity:

$$\prod_v |x|_v = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$$

where the product runs over all places including the archimedean one. This identity encodes the global coherence condition: the behavior at all places is constrained by a single equation.

2.3 Local Zeta Integrals

For each place v , Tate defined a **local zeta integral**. At a non-archimedean place p , the local zeta integral for a Schwartz-Bruhat function f_p on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and a quasicharacter χ_p is:

$$Z_v(f_v, \chi_v, s) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_v^{\times}} f_v(x) \chi_v(x) |x|_v^s d^{\times} x$$

At the archimedean place, the definition involves the classical Gamma function through Tate's choice of test function. The key structural feature is that **the local integral is defined independently at each place** using only local data—the function f_v , the quasicharacter χ_v , and the absolute value $|\cdot|_v$.

2.4 Global Adelic Integration and the Functional Equation

The adelic zeta integral is formed by taking the product of local zeta integrals over all places and integrating over the idele class group $C_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$:

$$Z_{\mathbb{A}}(f, \chi, s) = \int_{C_{\mathbb{Q}}} \prod_v f_v(x_v) \chi_v(x_v) |x_v|_v^s d^{\times} x$$

Each local factor is a function on the local field $\mathbb{Q}_v$; the global object is a function on the quotient of the idele group by the diagonally embedded $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$. The restricted product structure ensures that the infinite product over places actually converges (since f_v is the characteristic function of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ at almost all places).

Tate's main theorem is that $Z_{\mathbb{A}}$ satisfies a **functional equation**:

$$Z_{\mathbb{A}}(f, \chi, s) = Z_{\mathbb{A}}(\hat{f}, \chi^{-1}, 1 - s)$$

where $\hat{f}$ is the adelic Fourier transform of f . This functional equation encodes a global symmetry: the adelic zeta integral at s equals the Fourier-transformed integral at $1 - s$, connecting local behavior across all places into a single global identity.

3. The Template: From Tate's Thesis to Adelic Quantum Mechanics

[speculative] We now propose the explicit mapping from Tate's structural diagram to adelic quantum mechanics. The template consists of four correspondences, which we present with increasing degrees of speculation.

3.1 Local Zeta Integrals $\rightarrow$ Local Quantum Dynamics

At each place v , one defines a **local quantum theory**—a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_v$, a Hamiltonian H_v , and a local path integral:

$$\mathcal{Z}_v = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_v e^{iS_v[\phi_v]/\hbar}$$

where ϕ_v is a field configuration defined over the local field $\mathbb{Q}_v$ and S_v is the local action functional. The local path integral $\mathcal{Z}_v$ plays the role of Tate's local zeta integral: it is defined independently at each place using only local data.

For free (quadratic) theories, this factorization has been explicitly realized by the Dragovich programme [4, 5, 6, 7]. The adelic path integral for a quadratic Lagrangian factorizes as a product of local path integrals:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{A}} = \prod_v \mathcal{Z}_v = \mathcal{Z}_{\infty} \times \prod_p \mathcal{Z}_p$$

where each $\mathcal{Z}_p$ is a p -adic Gaussian integral. For interacting theories, the factorization is conjectural—see Section 10.2 for limitations. [my conjecture]

3.2 Idele Class Group Integration $\rightarrow$ Global Coherence

The adelic path integral is not simply the product of local path integrals. Tate's construction integrates over the idele class group $C_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$, which quotients out the diagonally embedded multiplicative group of $\mathbb{Q}$. Physically, this corresponds to the requirement that the local theories at different places are not independent but are related by the **product formula** $\prod_v |x|_v = 1$.

[speculative] The physical interpretation is that the adelic quantum state is a section of a bundle over the adèle ring, and the global coherence condition is that physical observables must be invariant under simultaneous scaling of the local coordinates at all places—a condition enforced by the product formula. This prevents, for example, a state that is a plane wave at the archimedean place but a constant at all p -adic places from being a valid adelic state.

3.3 The Functional Equation $\rightarrow$ Path Integral Consistency

Tate's functional equation $Z_{\mathbb{A}}(f, \chi, s) = Z_{\mathbb{A}}(\hat{f}, \chi^{-1}, 1 - s)$ corresponds, in the quantum template, to a consistency condition relating the adelic path integral to its Fourier transform. [my conjecture] Specifically, if we define the adelic generating functional:

$$Z_{\mathbb{A}}[J] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{i(S_{\mathbb{A}}[\phi] + \int J\phi)}$$

where J is an adelic source and the integral is over all adelic field configurations, then the functional equation corresponds to the statement that $Z_{\mathbb{A}}[J]$ and its Fourier transform $\widehat{Z_{\mathbb{A}}[\tilde{J}]}$ encode the same physical content, with the transformation $J \leftrightarrow \tilde{J}$ corresponding to the duality $s \leftrightarrow 1 - s$ in the number-theoretic setting.

[not yet falsifiable] We do not currently have a construction of this consistency condition for a nontrivial interacting theory. The Dragovich programme has realized the path integral factorization and product formula structure for free theories, but the functional-equation-level consistency has not been formulated.

3.4 The Archimedean Factor $\rightarrow$ Distinguished Measurement Role

In Tate's thesis, the archimedean factor differs qualitatively from the p -adic factors: it involves the classical Gamma function $\Gamma(s)$ rather than the geometric series $(1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ of the p -adic factors. [speculative] In the quantum template, this reflects the qualitative difference between archimedean and non-archimedean completions: the archimedean place supports continuous parameters, differentiable paths, and connected state spaces—all properties that are absent at p -adic places.

These archimedean-unique properties are what enable standard quantum measurement: a measurement apparatus, built from atoms and electromagnetic fields in $\mathbb{R}^3$, operates at the archimedean place. The p -adic physics—while equally fundamental—is not directly accessible to archimedean measurement apparatuses. This leads to the reframing of the measurement problem in Section 7.

4. The Dragovich Programme as Realization

[established] The Dragovich programme (1995–present) [4, 5, 6, 7] comes closest to realizing the Tate template for free quantum theories. We summarize the key results and identify which parts of the template they realize.

4.1 Adelic Path Integrals

Dragovich and collaborators constructed adelic path integrals for quadratic Lagrangians. The central result is the factorization formula [4, 6]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{D}x e^{iS[x]} = \prod_v \int_{\mathbb{Q}_v} \mathcal{D}x_v e^{iS_v[x_v]}$$

where $S[x] = \int L(x, \dot{x}) dt$ is a quadratic action and the product runs over all places of $\mathbb{Q}$. Each local path integral $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_v} \mathcal{D}x_v$ is a p -adic Gaussian integral, which can be evaluated explicitly using the p -adic Gamma function Γ_p [5].

This realizes Correspondence 1 (local zeta integrals $\rightarrow$ local quantum dynamics) for quadratic theories. The local path integrals are defined independently at each place and factorize exactly.

4.2 Adelic Harmonic Oscillator

The adelic harmonic oscillator [4] provides the simplest nontrivial test case. The ground state wavefunction factorizes as a product over places:

$$\psi_0(x_{\mathbb{A}}) = \prod_v \psi_0^{(v)}(x_v)$$

where $\psi_0^{(\infty)}$ is the standard real harmonic oscillator ground state (a Gaussian) and $\psi_0^{(p)}$ is the p -adic harmonic oscillator ground state, given by the characteristic function of $\mathbb{Z}_p$:

$$\psi_0^{(p)}(x_p) = \Omega(|x_p|_p) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x_p|_p \leq 1 \\ 0 & |x_p|_p > 1 \end{cases}$$

The adelic ground state energy receives contributions from all places: $E_0^{\mathbb{A}} = E_0^{\infty} + \sum_p E_0^p$. This is a concrete example of the adelic state acquiring structure that is invisible from the archimedean projection alone.

4.3 Adelic Generalized Functions

Dragovich [5] extended the construction to generalized functions on adelic spaces, establishing that the Schwartz-Bruhat space on $\mathbb{A}$ —the natural space of test functions for adelic analysis—is the restricted tensor product of local Schwartz-Bruhat spaces:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \bigotimes_p' \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

This is a precise mathematical analog of the restricted product structure of the quantum state space posited by the template.

4.4 What the Dragovich Programme Does Not Yet Achieve

[my conjecture] The Dragovich programme is restricted to free (quadratic) theories. The following aspects of the Tate template are not realized:

1. **Interacting quantum field theories.** No adelic interacting QFT has been constructed, even perturbatively.
2. **Functional equation consistency.** The analog of Tate's functional equation for adelic path integrals has not been formulated, even for free theories.
3. **The role of the idele class group quotient.** Dragovich's construction integrates over the adèle ring directly, not over the idele class group $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The quotient by $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ that is essential to Tate's construction—encoding the global constraint—has no analog in existing adelic QM constructions.

These limitations are discussed further in Section 10.2.

5. Huang-Stoica-Zhong: Number Theory from Physics

[established] Huang, Stoica, and Zhong [8] recently provided an independent proof-of-concept for the structural analogy between Tate's thesis and quantum dynamics, in a different physical context.

5.1 Adelic Conformal Field Theory

The authors construct a family of conformal field theories over the adèles of $\mathbb{Q}$ whose partition functions factorize as products over places:

$$Z_{\text{CFT}} = \prod_v Z_v$$

where each Z_v is the partition function of a CFT defined over the local field $\mathbb{Q}_v$. The key result is that **the global partition function encodes number-theoretic structure**: the transformation properties of Z_{CFT} under the mapping class group of the adelic torus reproduce quadratic reciprocity.

In the simpler case of the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$:

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}}$$

where $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol, emerges from the consistency conditions on the partition function's factorization over places. This is a precise analog of Tate's functional equation generating number-theoretic structure from the consistency of local factors.

5.2 Implications for the Tate Template

The Huang-Stoica-Zhong result demonstrates that:

1. **Adelic field theories can be physically well-defined.** The CFTs constructed are mathematically rigorous.
2. **Number-theoretic structure emerges from factorization consistency.** The quadratic reciprocity law is not put in by hand; it emerges as a necessary condition for the global partition function to be well-defined, exactly as Tate's functional equation emerges from the adelic integration over $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
3. **The product over places is physically meaningful.** The factorization is not merely a formal trick; it encodes genuine physical content—the mapping class group action sees the number-theoretic structure.

However, the connection to quantum mechanics is indirect: CFT partition functions are not quantum mechanical path integrals in the usual sense (they are Euclidean, not Lorentzian). The Huang-Stoica-Zhong result demonstrates the structural analogy at the level of partition functions but does not construct an adelic quantum theory with unitary time evolution.

6. The Langlands Program: Adelic Physics Discovered Before Its Time

[speculative] We now argue that the Langlands program—connecting automorphic forms on adelic groups to Galois representations—is most naturally interpreted as a statement about adelic physics whose mathematical structure was discovered before its physical interpretation.

6.1 The Two Sides of Langlands

The Langlands correspondence [11] posits a relationship between two apparently unrelated mathematical objects:

- **Automorphic side:** Harmonic analysis on the adèle group $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ for a reductive algebraic group G . Automorphic forms are functions on $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ satisfying certain invariance and growth conditions.
- **Galois side:** Representations of the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ into the Langlands dual group ${}^L G$. These encode arithmetic information about number fields.

The correspondence asserts that these two descriptions are **equivalent**: to every automorphic representation π of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, there corresponds a Galois representation σ_{π} , and this correspondence preserves L -functions, ε -factors, and other arithmetic invariants.

6.2 The Physical Interpretation

[my conjecture] From the adelic physics perspective, the two sides of the Langlands correspondence are:

- **Automorphic side = Quantum states on the adelic group.** The automorphic forms are wavefunctions on the adèle group $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, which is the correct domain for adelic quantum mechanics. Time evolution is generated by Hecke operators acting on these wavefunctions.
- **Galois side = Number-theoretic observables.** The Galois representations encode the arithmetic structure that the quantum theory "sees." They are the spectrum of a commuting family of observables in the adelic theory.

- **The correspondence = The statement that the automorphic description (quantum states and their dynamics) and the Galois description (the spectrum of observables) are two equivalent representations of a single underlying adelic physical theory.**

This interpretation is supported by the physical-geometric Langlands correspondence discovered by Kapustin and Witten [12]. In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory, S-duality—a genuine physical duality exchanging electric and magnetic descriptions—corresponds to the geometric Langlands correspondence at the archimedean place. The adelic generalization would extend this physical duality to all completions.

6.3 Constraints and Caveats

[my conjecture] Two major constraints must be acknowledged:

1. **The full Langlands correspondence for $GL(n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is unproven.** It is a Clay Millennium Problem. The physical interpretation depends on an unproven mathematical conjecture—this does not invalidate the interpretation but constrains its scope to what is already proven ($GL(2)$, some cases of $GL(n)$).
1. **The geometric-to-arithmetic extension is conjectural.** Kapustin and Witten established geometric Langlands at the ∞ -place via S-duality. The extension from geometric Langlands (over Riemann surfaces) to arithmetic Langlands (over number fields) has not been constructed physically. The standard dictionary—Riemann surfaces $\leftrightarrow$ function fields, which are one-dimensional objects over finite fields—does not directly generalize to number fields.

Nevertheless, even the partial correspondence that is known (geometric Langlands as physical S-duality, proven cases of arithmetic Langlands) provides substantial evidence that Langlands-type dualities have physical content. The claim that "Langlands is adelic physics" is a structural interpretation of this evidence, not a prediction of new experiments in the near term.

7. The Measurement Problem as a Completion Problem

[PHILOSOPHY] This section steps from physics into philosophy. The claims made here are structural reframings, not experimentally testable predictions.

7.1 The Standard Measurement Problem

The quantum measurement problem, in its standard formulation, is the tension between two descriptions of a quantum system:

1. **Unitary evolution:** A closed quantum system evolves according to the Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\partial_t|\psi\rangle = H|\psi\rangle$, which is linear, deterministic, and preserves superpositions.
2. **Measurement collapse:** When a measurement is performed, the state appears to "collapse" non-unitarily into an eigenstate of the measured observable, with probabilities given by the Born rule.

The problem is to explain how and why the second description replaces the first, and what constitutes a "measurement" such that the transition occurs.

7.2 The Adelic Reframing

[my conjecture] From the adelic perspective, the measurement problem can be reframed as a **completion problem**: which completion does the measurement apparatus operate in?

The adelic state $|\psi_{\mathbb{A}}\rangle$ is defined over the adèle ring $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ —it has components at every place simultaneously. A measurement apparatus, built from atoms and electromagnetic fields in $\mathbb{R}^3$, is an **archimedean object**: it couples exclusively to the ∞ -place component of the adelic state. The act of measurement is a **place-crossing event**: the apparatus selects the archimedean completion, and the state projects onto that completion:

$$|\psi_{\mathbb{A}}\rangle = \bigotimes_v |\psi_v\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{measurement at } \infty} |\psi_{\infty}\rangle \otimes \bigotimes_p (\text{traced out})$$

In this picture, measurement "collapse" is the physical process of coupling to a place-specific apparatus, which traces out the non-archimedean degrees of freedom. The Born rule—the probabilities of different measurement outcomes—encodes the structure of the p -adic components that were traced out by the archimedean apparatus.

7.3 Falsifiability Assessment

[not yet falsifiable] This reframing is currently not experimentally testable. We can identify what would constitute a test:

- If we could construct a measurement apparatus that couples to a p -adic completion (e.g., a device whose relevant degrees of freedom are defined over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ rather than $\mathbb{R}$), the reframing predicts that such an apparatus would "measure" the p -adic component of the adelic state, yielding different statistics than an archimedean measurement of the "same" observable.

At present, no such apparatus exists and no clear path to constructing one is known. The measurement-as-completion reframing should be treated as a structural analogy that may suggest future experimental directions, not as a confirmed physical mechanism.

8. UV and IR Divergences as Archimedean Artifacts

[speculative] Quantum field theory, in its standard formulation, suffers from two classes of divergences: ultraviolet (UV) divergences from arbitrarily short-distance fluctuations, and infrared (IR) divergences from arbitrarily long-wavelength soft modes. We argue that both are archimedean artifacts—consequences of properties unique to the ∞ -place—and that p -adic geometry provides natural regulation.

8.1 UV Divergences

UV divergences arise from integrating over arbitrarily high momenta in Feynman diagrams:

$$\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{k^2 - m^2} \sim \int^{\Lambda} \frac{k^3 dk}{k^2} \sim \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \infty$$

The divergence is a consequence of the archimedean property that $\mathbb{R}$ has arbitrarily small intervals: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - y| < \epsilon$. This allows fluctuations at arbitrarily small length scales.

[speculative] In p -adic geometry, the situation is fundamentally different. The p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ are **totally disconnected**: every point is its own connected component. The ultrametric balls $B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x - y|_p \leq r\}$ are simultaneously open and closed, and there is a minimum nonzero distance between distinct points—the p -adic metric takes only the discrete values $\{p^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This provides a **natural UV cutoff**: there is no analog of "arbitrarily close" points, and momentum integrals over p -adic fields are naturally regulated.

The p -adic analog of the momentum-space propagator $1/(k^2 - m^2)$ involves the p -adic Gamma function and is finite without renormalization [13, 14]. [my conjecture] This suggests that UV divergences are not a feature of quantum field theory per se but of its restriction to the archimedean place.

8.2 IR Divergences

IR divergences arise from the continuous spectrum of massless particles:

$$\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{k^4} \sim \int_0 \frac{dk}{k} \sim -\log(0) \rightarrow \infty$$

The divergence occurs because the momentum-space measure in $\mathbb{R}^4$ has a continuous density of states near $k = 0$, allowing arbitrarily soft massless excitations.

[speculative] In p -adic geometry, the spectral measure is discrete rather than continuous. The p -adic Laplacian on $\mathbb{Q}_p^d$ has a discrete spectrum because the underlying space is totally disconnected. This means that the density of states near zero momentum is not continuous—there is a minimum nonzero momentum determined by the p -adic structure:

$$k_{\min} \sim p^{-N} \quad \text{for some finite } N$$

where N is the p -adic "radius" of the system. This provides a **natural IR cutoff** that is absent from the continuous archimedean spectrum [13, 14].

8.3 Comparison with Standard Approaches

Standard approaches to UV regulation (dimensional regularization, Pauli-Villars, lattice cutoff) are ad hoc mathematical devices that are removed at the end of the calculation via renormalization. The p -adic approach is fundamentally different: the regulation is not a device to be removed but a consequence of the geometry of the underlying number field. [my conjecture] In a complete adelic theory, the physical cutoff scales would be determined by the p -adic structure, potentially predicting the Standard Model parameters rather than absorbing them into counterterms.

This claim is similar in spirit to the adelic cosmology program [15], which argues that p -adic structure regulates cosmological singularities. However, it is a stronger claim—that the Standard Model parameters themselves are determined by p -adic structure—and currently has no concrete realization.

9. Measure-Theoretic Artifacts: A Classification

[speculative] We now formalize the concept of a **measure-theoretic artifact**—a physical structure that depends essentially on archimedean-unique properties and lacks a canonical analog under at least one p -adic completion.

9.1 Definition

Definition (Measure-Theoretic Artifact): A structure S in a physical theory is a measure-theoretic artifact of the ∞ -place if:

1. S depends essentially on properties unique to the archimedean completion (connectedness, continuous differentiability, infinite divisibility, the existence of transcendental limits, or the standard Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$).

2. S either lacks a canonical analog or acquires qualitatively different properties under at least one p -adic completion $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

9.2 A Partial Classification

Artifact	Archimedean Property	p -adic Status
UV divergences (arbitrarily small distances)	$\mathbb{R}$ has arbitrarily close points	p -adic metric has discrete values $\{p^n\}$; natural UV cutoff
IR divergences (continuous soft spectrum)	Continuous momentum spectrum near $k = 0$	Discrete p -adic Laplacian spectrum; natural IR cutoff
Connected state spaces (e.g., Bloch sphere)	$\mathbb{R}^n$ is path-connected	$\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ is totally disconnected; state space is a Cantor set
Continuous time evolution ($t \in \mathbb{R}$)	$\mathbb{R}$ is a one-dimensional connected manifold	p -adic time is a zero-dimensional space; evolution is discrete
Differentiable manifolds as configuration spaces	Calculus on $\mathbb{R}^n$ requires a connected domain	p -adic analysis replaces derivatives with pseudo-differential operators
Transcendental constants ($\pi, e, \Gamma(1/4)$)	Defined via limits that exist only at ∞	p -adic analogs π_p, e_p are algebraic, not limits
Lebesgue measure (translation-invariant continuous measure)	$\mathbb{R}^n$ supports a σ -finite translation-invariant measure	Haar measure on $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ is translation-invariant but not continuous (assigns measure zero to points)

9.3 Physical Significance

The classification suggests a program: for each physical structure that is a measure-theoretic artifact, ask whether its p -adic analog can replace it in a physically meaningful way. If so, the archimedean structure is merely one avatar of a richer adelic phenomenon—the physical equivalent of the local factor in Tate’s zeta integral. If not—if the structure genuinely requires archimedean properties and has no p -adic analog—then it is a genuine archimedean artifact, a feature of the ∞ -place projection rather than of the underlying adelic reality.

[my conjecture] The working hypothesis of the adelic physics program is that **all physical laws have adelic origin, and archimedean-only structures are artifacts of the projection onto a single completion**. This is a strong claim whose falsification would require identifying a physical law that demonstrably cannot be formulated adelically.

10. Conclusion

We have proposed that Tate’s 1950 thesis provides an explicit structural template for constructing adelic quantum mechanics, with four correspondences: local zeta integrals $\rightarrow$ local quantum dynamics, idele class group integration $\rightarrow$ global coherence via the product formula, the functional equation $\rightarrow$ path integral consistency, and the archimedean factor $\rightarrow$ the distinguished role of measurement at the ∞ -place.

The Dragovich programme has realized this template for free (quadratic) theories via explicit adelic path integral constructions. Huang, Stoica, and Zhong have provided an independent proof-of-concept in conformal field theory. The Langlands program, when interpreted physically, provides evidence that adelic dualities have genuine physical content beyond formal analogy. The measurement problem can be reframed—as a philosophical exercise—as a completion problem. And both UV and IR divergences in quantum field theory are naturally understood as archimedean artifacts regulated by p -adic geometry.

10.1 Calibration Register

ID	Prediction	Target Date	Status
CAL-01	By 2030, at least one peer-reviewed paper outside the Dragovich group should explicitly reference the adelic QM template for constructing quantum dynamics	2030	Pending
CAL-02	By 2035, the Huang-Stoica-Zhong adelic CFT construction should be extended to at least one other number-theoretic invariant (e.g., cubic reciprocity)	2035	Pending
CAL-03	By 2035, the geometric Langlands correspondence should have at least one experimentally testable prediction, or the interpretation of Langlands as adelic physics should be recognized as structural analogy	2035	Pending
CAL-04	By 2040, the measurement-as-completion hypothesis will either have a concrete experimental protocol or be recognized as [not yet falsifiable]	2040	Pending

10.2 Limitations

This paper is a synthesis and structural proposal, not a computational or experimental work. The following limitations apply:

1. **The Dragovich programme realizes the template only for free theories.** The extension to interacting quantum field theories is conjectural and represents a major open problem. The template's validity for the full Standard Model is unproven.
1. **The Langlands interpretation depends on unproven mathematics.** The full Langlands correspondence for $GL(n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is a Clay Millennium Problem. The physical interpretation is contingent on its proof.
1. **The measurement-as-completion reframing is not experimentally testable with current technology.** No measurement apparatus coupling to p -adic completions has been constructed or proposed in a concrete experimental protocol.
1. **No novel calculations are presented.** This paper synthesizes existing results into a unified framework but does not produce new quantitative predictions.
1. **The adelic approach competes with many established UV-completion frameworks** (string theory, asymptotic safety, causal dynamical triangulations, loop quantum gravity). The adelic program's unique advantage is its grounding in Ostrowski's theorem, but this advantage is metaphysical rather than experimental until distinct predictions are formulated.

10.3 Open Problems

1. Construct the analog of Tate's functional equation for adelic path integrals beyond the quadratic case.
2. Extend the Huang-Stoica-Zhong construction to Lorentzian signature (from Euclidean CFT to quantum mechanics proper).
3. Formulate the "measurement as place-crossing" model as a quantum channel on adelic Hilbert spaces and compute the resulting measurement statistics for a simple system.
4. Derive a concrete UV cutoff scale from p -adic structure and compare with observed Standard Model parameters.
5. Construct an explicit pair of "archimedean" and " p -adic" measurement apparatuses in a Gedankenexperiment that would distinguish adelic from standard quantum mechanics.

Bibliography

- [1] A. Ostrowski, "Über einige Lösungen der Funktionalgleichung $\varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(y) = \varphi(xy)$," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 41, pp. 271–284, 1918. DOI: [10.1007/BF02422947](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02422947).
- [2] QNFO Research, *The Adelic Physics Program: A Grand Synthesis*, 2026. DOI: <https://papers.qnfo.org/papers>.
- [3] J. T. Tate, *Fourier Analysis in Number Fields and Hecke's Zeta-Functions*, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 1950. Published in: J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich (eds.), *Algebraic Number Theory*, Academic Press, 1967, pp. 305–347.
- [4] B. Dragovich, "p-Adic and Adelic Quantum Mechanics," arXiv:math-ph/0312046, 2003.
- [5] B. Dragovich, "On Generalized Functions in Adelic Quantum Mechanics," arXiv:math-ph/0404076, 2004.
- [6] G. S. Djordjevic, B. Dragovich, and Lj. Nesic, "Adelic Path Integrals for Quadratic Lagrangians," arXiv:hep-th/0105030, 2001.
- [7] B. Dragovich, "Path Integrals for Quadratic Lagrangians on p-Adic and Adelic Spaces," arXiv:1011.6589, 2010.
- [8] A. Huang, B. Stoica, and X. Zhong, "Quadratic Reciprocity from a Family of Adelic Conformal Field Theories," arXiv:2202.01217, 2022.
- [9] V. S. Vladimirov, I. V. Volovich, and E. I. Zelenov, *p-Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, World Scientific, 1994. DOI: [10.1142/1581](https://doi.org/10.1142/1581).
- [10] L. Brekke and P. G. O. Freund, "p-Adic Numbers in Physics," *Physics Reports*, vol. 233, no. 1, pp. 1–66, 1993. DOI: [10.1016/0370-1573\(93\)90043-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(93)90043-D).
- [11] E. Frenkel, "Lectures on the Langlands Program and Conformal Field Theory," arXiv:hep-th/0512172, 2005.
- [12] A. Kapustin and E. Witten, "Electric-Magnetic Duality and the Geometric Langlands Program," *Communications in Number Theory and Physics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–236, 2007. DOI: [10.4310/CNTP.2007.v1.n1.a1](https://doi.org/10.4310/CNTP.2007.v1.n1.a1).
- [13] B. Dragovich, "p-Adic and Adelic Cosmology: p-Adic Origin of Dark Energy and Dark Matter," arXiv:hep-th/0602044, 2006.
- [14] M. V. Altaisky, "p-Adic Wavelet Transform and Quantum Physics," arXiv:hep-th/0406024, 2004.
- [15] QNFO Research, *Ultrametric Quantum Computation and the Langlands Program*, Draft v0.2, 2026.
- [16] QNFO Research, *Adelic Synthesis: The Pattern-Particle Correspondence and the Complete Arithmetic Theory of Anyons*, 2026. DOI: <https://papers.qnfo.org/papers>.
- [17] QNFO Research, *Quantum Laws of Form: A Syntactic Foundation for Physics*, 2026. DOI: <https://papers.qnfo.org/papers>.

Declarations

Funding: No external funding was received for this research.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Ethics Approval: Not applicable. This is theoretical research with no human or animal subjects.

Consent to Participate: Not applicable.

Consent for Publication: The author consents to publication under the QNFO Unified License Agreement.

Author Contributions: Sole author: conceptualization, investigation, formal analysis, writing, review.

Data Availability: No experimental data were generated or analyzed. All cited works are publicly available via their DOIs or arXiv identifiers.

Materials Availability: Not applicable.

Code Availability: No custom code was used in this research. The paper is available in source form on GitHub (QNFO/tate-adelic-template) and archived at papers.qnfo.org.

Use of Artificial Intelligence: An AI assistant (Claude, via DeepChat) was used for literature search, reference management, and copyediting. All substantive intellectual contributions—the template mapping (Section 3), the Langlands interpretation (Section 6), the measurement-as-completion reframing (Section 7), and the measure-theoretic artifact classification (Section 9)—were authored by the human researcher. The AI assistant did not generate novel claims independently.